UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America v. MICHAEL FREEMAN LATTIMORE Date of Previous Judgment: 3/30/2005 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence R)) Case No: 1:04CR00081-001) USM No: 18702-058) Robert Charles Carpenter) Defendant's Attorney Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	
	s previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
Previous Offense Level: 31 Criminal History Category: II Previous Guideline Range: 240 to 240 months	Amended Offense Level: 29 Criminal History Category: II Amended Guideline Range: 240 to 240 months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE □ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. ■ Other (explain): No reduction recommended due to statutory mandatory minimum sentence of 20 years (240 months). If Amendment 706 (Retroactive Crack Cocaine Amendment) had been in place at the original sentencing, the guideline range would still have been restricted to the mandatory minimum of 240 months, per USSG 5G1.1(b). 	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 3/30/2005 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Order Date: <u>July 30, 2009</u>	MACO
Effective Date:	Lacy H. Thornburg United States District Judge